

**GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH**  
**ABSTRACT**

Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation – Construction of ROB at Sithaphalmandi  
– Proposal for approval of Revision of agreement rates – Permission - Accorded –  
Orders – Issued.

---

**MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION & URBAN DEVELOPMENT (F2) DEPARTMENT**

**G.O.Ms.No. 683**

**Dated: 29.09.2008**

**Read :-**

From the Commissioner and Special Officer, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad Lr.No.GHMC/ENC /SE(P-II)/EPPDIV/ROB/2008-2009/378, dt.4-8-2008.

<<>><<>>

**ORDER :-**

In the reference read above, the Commissioner and Special Officer, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad has stated that the ROB at Sithaphalmandi was sanctioned under Government of India sponsored Megacity Project vide G.O.Ms.No.321 dated 29-06-1996, with an expenditure of Rs.4.00 crore. He has also stated that the work was entrusted to M/s NBCC Ltd., in October 1997. In January 1999, the proposal for two lane ROB of width of 8.5 mts was reviewed and recommended by the City Level Coordination Committee for increase of width from 8.5 mts to 12.00 mts to meet the future requirement. As the agency M/s NBCC Ltd., did not evince interest for the same, the Government have cancelled the contract vide G.O. under G.O.Ms.No.122, dated 13-03-2002.

2. The Commissioner and Special Officer, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad has also stated that the estimate was revised and revised administrative sanction was accorded by the Government for an amount of Rs.7.99 crores vide G.O.Ms.No.395, dated 14-08-2003. The work of construction of ROB at Seethaphalmandi was awarded to M/s Manikanta Constructions on tender basis @ (-) 5.01% with a contract value of Rs.6,18,05,935/-. The agreement was concluded vide agreement No.1/CE/TA-II/K-61/2005 dated: 30-06-2005 with period of completion as 12 months and the entire work should have been completed by 30-06-2006.

3. He has informed the progress of work as follows:

i. 1 <sup>st</sup> Slab	- A2 to 18	04-07-2007
ii. 2 <sup>nd</sup> Slab	- 18 to 17 (B)	30-07-2007
iii. 3 <sup>rd</sup> Slab	- 17(B) to 17(A)	22-08-2007
iv. 4 <sup>th</sup> Slab	- 17(A) to 16	22-09-2007

4. The Commissioner and Special Officer, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad has also stated that due to change in the proof consultants there was a delay on the part of department for clearance of 18.75 mts deck slabs by 2 weeks. The contractor could cast the 5<sup>th</sup> slab with the modifications suggested by proof consultants M/s Stup Consultants on 30-10-2007. He has also stated that the contractor came up with a request vide his letter dated 08-10-2007 for compensation towards the losses incurred on escalation of prices and advances towards labour. They also mentioned about the delay occurred for clearance @ P14 (mosque property) which is said to have been resulted in idling of skilled and unskilled labour. The contractor has also represented that due to stoppage of work for want of clearance from M/s Stup Consultants resulted in idling of the skilled and unskilled labours, incurring an additional loss of Rs.15.00 to Rs.16.00 lakhs. Further they have requested for compensating them with present S.S rates, and also granting extension of time.

[Contd..2]

5. The Commissioner and Special Officer, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad has stated that the location of the ROB is a main road of 60 ft wide leading from Secunderabad station to Tarnaka and Osmania University and the road has been closed for traffic for more than six years. Railway authorities constructed obligatory portion more than 5 years back and the same could not be put to use for want of completion of approaches on either side of railway obligatory structures. The public in the vicinity are suffering a lot due to non completion of the ROB.

6. The Commissioner and Special Officer, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad has further stated that the existing contractor may be permitted to continue allowing the present SS rates for the balance quantities of work with an assurance from the contractor to complete the work within the stipulated time. In case of failure, the contractor has to be penalized for the delay on his part on available work fronts.

7. The Commissioner and Special Officer, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad has further stated that the Standing Committee and General Body of the Corporation have approved the proposal and resolved under Resolution No.451, dated 19-07-2008 and Resolution No.102 dated 19-07-2008 duly agreeing for recommending to the Government for according approval for revision of rates in agreement as per SSR i.e. 2007-08 for amounting Rs.5,88,03,717/- against original contract amount of Rs.6,18,05,935/- and for executing the project of construction of ROB at Sithaphalmandi through the same contractor M/s Manikanta Constructions. There is no extra expenditure over and above the sanctions.

8. The Commissioner and Special Officer, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad has accordingly requested the Government to issue necessary orders for approving of the above proposal.

9. Government after careful examination of the matter, hereby accord permission for according approval for revision of rates in agreement as per prevailing SSR i.e. 2007-08 restricting the amount of contract for Rs.5,88,03,717/- (Rupees five crores, eighty eight lakhs and three thousand seven hundred and seventeen only) against the original contract amount of Rs.6,18,05,935/- and for executing the ROB at Sithaphalmandi, through M/s Manikanta Constructions. The expenditure shall be met from the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation funds.

10. The Commissioner and Special Officer, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad shall take necessary further action in the matter accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

**S.P. SINGH**  
**EX- OFFICIO PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

To  
The Commissioner and Special Officer,  
Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad.  
Copy to:  
The P.S. to Minister (MA&UD).

//FORWARDED BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER